Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/517,419	NELSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Siegfried E. Chencinski	3628
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Siegfried E. Chencinski</u> .	(3) Frantzy Poinvil, Primary Examiner.	
(2) Christopher B. Kilner, Esq.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>02/01/2006</u>	Time: <u>10:20am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		-
Claims discussed: 4, 7, 9, 18 & 19,		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: SEE THE ATTACHED EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Digtol & Church		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)